

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/776,009	7776,009 02/02/2001		Michael A. Vyvoda	MA-027	7430	
33971	7590	07/14/2004		EXAMINER		
MATRIX S 3230 SCOTT		DUCTOR, INC. ARD	MAI, ANH D			
SANTA CLARA, CA 95034				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2814		
					DATE MAIL ED: 07/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/776,009	VYVODA ET AL.				
omeened on Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL ING DATE of this communication app	Anh D. Mai	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. S.133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 June 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 63-70 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 63-70 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application by documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/28/04. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Dal 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa 6) ☐ Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 28, 2004 has been entered.

Status of the Claims

2. Amendment filed June 28, 2004 has been entered. Claims 1-62 have been canceled. Claims 63-70 have been added. Thus, claims 63-70 are pending.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 66 is objected to because of the following informalities:

The limitation "wherein the first percentage is greater than 50 percent" has already been claimed by claim 64. Although claim 66 depends on claim 65, however, the scope of claim 66 is identical to that of claim 64, which is greater than 50 percent.

Appropriate correction is required.

Response to Amendment

4. The amendment filed June 28, 2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall

Art Unit: 2814

introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

New claim 67 recites: "wherein the first percentage is less than or equal to 50 percent".

Although a percentage of less than 50 percent does appear in the specification, however, this percentage is for the polysilicon formed in the shapes of islands as shown in Figs. 3-4, not the strips.

For the strips shape, Figs. 1A-B, the non-critical percentages are 50%, 60% or 70%. Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claim 67 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There does not appear to be a written description of the claim limitation "wherein the first percentage is <u>less than</u> or equal to 50 percent" in the application as filed.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2814

6. Claim 69 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 69 recites the limitation "wherein the strips have a shortest dimension between 0.25 and 500 microns" in lines 1-2.

There are two "strips", dielectric and polysilicon, recited in claims 63 and 68.

It is not known which "strips" is encompassed by claim 69, thus, claim 69 is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 63-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Wu (U.S. Patent No. 6,008,087).

With respect to claim 63, insofar as the structure is concerned, Wu teaches a wafer having a surface as claimed including:

Art Unit: 2814

a plurality of elongated strips of polysilicon (16); and

a plurality of elongated strips of dielectric material (20), the strips of dielectric material alternating with the strips of polysilicon (16),

wherein the surface has been planarized by chemical mechanical planarization, and wherein a first percentage of total wafer surface area that is polysilicon is less than or equal to 70 percent (≤70%). (See Figs. 6 and 9).

Product by process limitation:

The expression "wherein the surface has been planarized by chemical mechanical planarization" is taken to be a product by process limitation and is given no patentable weight. A product by process claim directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, *In re Hirao*, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See *In re Fessman*, 180 USPQ 324, 326 (CCPA 1974); *In re Marosi et al.*, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983); and particularly *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985), all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final structure of the product "gleaned" from the process steps, which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process. See also MPEP 2113. Moreover, an old and obvious product produced by a new method is not a patentable product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not.

With respect to claims 64-67, Wu teaches the dimensions of the silicon nitride pattern 6 (300-3000 Å), the opening 12 (500-5000 Å) and the thickness of the polysilicon layer 16 (200-

Art Unit: 2814

3000 Å) thus, encompass the claimed first percentage of greater than 50 percent (>50%), less than or equal to 60 percent (\leq 60%) or less than or equal to 50 percent (\leq 50%).

With respect to claim 68, the strips of polysilicon (16) of Wu have a shortest dimension (200-3000 Å), thus, less than 500 μm .

With respect to claim 69, as best understood by the examiner, the strips of polysilicon (16) of Wu have a shortest dimension (200-3000 Å) overlaps the claimed range (between 0.25 and $500 \ \mu m$).

With respect to claim 70, since the first percentage of total wafer surface area that is polysilicon of Wu is less than or equal to 70 percent (≤70%), therefore, the surface of the wafer of Wu inherently can attract enough water to wet sufficiently allowing removal of residual particles therefrom.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to new claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent No. 6,185,122 Johnson et al.

U.S. Patent No. 6,483,736 Johnson et al.
U.S. Patent No. 6,486,065 Vyvoda et al.

U.S. Patent No. 6,525,953 Johnson

Art Unit: 2814

U.S. Patent No. 6,631,085 Kleveland et al. Page 7

U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0079553 Cleeves

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh D. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-1710. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anh D. Mai July 9, 2004

July 9, 2004